



immo.quick
SYSTEMS HOLDING

BUILDING THE NEXT GENERATION
OF INSTITUTIONAL TECHNOLOGY.

TRUST | TECHNOLOGY | PEOPLE | A BETTER TOMORROW

STRATEGIC INVESTMENT PERSPECTIVE

The economics of *institutional trust.*

Autonomous systems need an enforceable boundary for their consequences. immo.quick connects that infrastructure thesis with a concrete path to institutional use.

For strategic investors, private equity and institutional buyers.

STRUCTURE OF THIS PAPER

I	Thesis and substance	04-07
II	Economics	08-11
III	Scale	12-15
IV	Execution and partnership	16-20
A	Appendix: prices, sectors, assumptions	21-26

02 INVESTMENT HIGHLIGHTS

Execution boundaries for autonomous institutions.

A priced, examinable entry point.

An agent can technically access a system and prepare a consequential step. That does not establish whether it may still execute that step when the consequence occurs. This gap between access and current authority is immo.quick’s point of intervention.

01 THE BOUNDARY Binds protected execution to current authority, rules, jurisdiction, time and mandatory dependencies. Serverless Edition	02 EXAMINABLE SUBSTANCE Domain receipts plus three internal adversarial registry entries with 21 variants, reported ALL_PATHS_BLOCKED. Internal, not independent	03 PRICED ENTRY POINT Transaction due diligence. MDD-1 packages the agreed scope into one outcome. EUR 950 per case
04 CUSTOMER VALUE 240 MDD cases at one institution: EUR 197,000 modelled first-year saving. 48.9% ROI · 3.3 months payback	05 RECURRING REVENUE EUR 328,000 recurring provider revenue per modelled customer. Eight sector institutions: EUR 4.67m usage. Reference portfolio	06 EXPLICIT SCALE AXIS Same portfolio across 3 to 53 jurisdictions: EUR 14.0m to EUR 247.3m usage revenue, with sensitivities and EBITDA bridge. Upper modelling axis

THE PARTNERSHIP SOUGHT

Strategic investment combined with institutional market access, integration and delivery capacity.

NOTE All figures are scenarios on the supplied price list, not achieved results. No customer contract, revenue, valuation or ticket size is implied. Details and qualifications on the referenced pages.

03

CONTENTS AND READING GUIDE

One investment thesis.

One continuous diligence and calculation path.

	PAGES	DECISION QUESTION
I	04-07	What opportunity does immo.quick offer, and what can be examined?
II	08-11	Where does deployment begin and how is economic value created?
III	12-15	How does cross-sector and international scale work, and what must hold?
IV	16-20	Which risks apply, and how do deployment and partnership become concrete?
A	21-26	Which prices, sector volumes and assumptions underpin the model?

The connecting thread: control an institutional consequence, qualify initial deployment and make recurring usage economically explicit. The detailed prices and sector profiles in the appendix substantiate the thesis presented first.

NOTE Reading key: technical evidence, published product prices and hypothetical volume or cost assumptions are treated as different kinds of input.

04 THE OPPORTUNITY

Autonomy creates the ability to act. Authority must constrain it.

01

THE PROBLEM IS SPECIFIC

An agent can technically access a system and prepare a consequential step. That does not establish whether it may still execute that step when the consequence occurs. This gap between access and current authority is immo.quick's point of intervention.

02

THE INFRASTRUCTURE THESIS IS REPEATABLE

The immo.quick Serverless Edition binds protected execution to current authority, rules, jurisdiction, time and mandatory dependencies. The same principle can support different institutional workflows where each execution boundary is effectively integrated.

03

THE NEXT COMMERCIAL STEP CAN BE DEFINED

As a proposed entry point, this paper develops transaction-related due diligence. Scoped services, existing evidence artefacts and transparent unit prices create a specific diligence object for a strategic partner.

NOTE Sought: strategic investment combined with institutional market access and integration. The model does not imply an existing customer contract, revenue or fixed financing ticket.

05A CONCRETE USE CASE

The approval was valid. The later action is not.

Illustrative example: an agent is to change access permissions for a transaction data room. The request is approved. Before execution, the mandate is withdrawn. The target must not apply the prepared change solely on the strength of that earlier approval.

MOMENT	WHAT DETERMINES THE OUTCOME
Preparation	The request and earlier approval record an intention.
State change	The mandate is withdrawn; the authority state changes.
Execution boundary	Current prerequisites determine whether the protected step may proceed.
Outcome	Missing prerequisite: no protected execution; retain a traceable decision record.

PRINCIPLE

Earlier approval is not perpetual authority.

A real deployment requires specific verification and acceptance of target integration, bypass protection, state freshness and exceptions.

NOTE Explanatory example, not a claimed customer case or execution proof. A domain due-diligence receipt does not replace the execution right for a later action.

06

EXAMINE EXISTING SUBSTANCE

There is substance to examine. Its scope must remain explicit.

ARTEFACT		SPECIFIC DILIGENCE OBJECT	
Domain receipts		Supplied JSON results include source references, rule versions, times and hash bindings. They identify themselves as DOMAIN_EVIDENCE_ONLY.	
ADV-PROV-001		Internal registry entry covering manipulated or stale provenance and deployment bindings: seven variants.	
ADV-MPC-001		Internal registry entry covering mixed contexts, stale states and insufficient threshold: nine variants.	
ADV-AUTH-001		Internal registry entry covering authority scope, participant drift and witness quorum: five variants.	
3	21	ALL_PATHS_BLOCKED	
Internal registry entries	Adversarial variants	Reported registry status	

Investor diligence can use them to examine original artefacts, the executable test path and binding to the relevant system state. A registry status is not presented as independent confirmation.

NOTE Basis: supplied receipts and internal registry extracts. Domain evidence, internal test reports, current operational release and independent reproduction are different evidence levels.

07 THE ARCHITECTURAL DISTINCTION

The decisive property is an enforced boundary at the protected step.

QUESTION

WHAT THE APPROACH REQUIRES

Who is authenticated?	Identity alone does not establish current authority for a specific consequence.
What was approved earlier?	An approval must remain applicable at the execution point.
Is the evidence valid?	Evidence verification and granting an execution right remain separate.
Can the path be bypassed?	All protected effect paths must pass the agreed boundary.

TWO INDEPENDENT SYSTEMS

immo.quick Serverless Edition

Operates without TEEs and enclaves.

immo.quick Core

Uses TEEs and hardware enclaves. Not a prerequisite for Serverless.

Cybersecurity and hybrid post-quantum cryptography are part of the described architecture approach.

NOTE No blanket claim that competitors cannot meet these requirements. The distinction must be demonstrated through the specific integration and test path.

08 PROPOSED COMMERCIAL ENTRY

Transaction due diligence: a scoped entry point.

01

THE INSTITUTIONAL NEED

Transaction owners need to bring together evidence on the target, acquirer and relevant jurisdictional touchpoints. They need clear scope, traceable source states and a firm distinction between a check result and execution permission.

EUR 950

MDD-1 · per case

02

THE PRICED SERVICE

MDD-1 packages the agreed due-diligence scope into one outcome. The supplied price is EUR 950 per case. Included components are not artificially counted as additional billable services.

03

THE APPROPRIATE INITIAL BUYER

The proposed buyer is an institutional transaction or investment function with recurring, sufficiently comparable cases. Source coverage, residual review, target integration, price acceptance and the manual scope actually replaced must be qualified before contracting.


NOTE This prioritisation is a market-entry recommendation, not a claim of an acquired customer. Product prices and sector profiles in the appendix show how the entry point can expand into a broader service model.

09

VALUE FOR ONE INSTITUTIONAL CUSTOMER

EUR 197,000 modelled first-year saving.
One institutional customer, 240 MDD cases.

YEAR-ONE COST COMPARISON



COST ITEM	MODEL VALUE
Manual baseline: 240 × EUR 2,500	EUR 600,000
MDD-1: 240 × EUR 950	EUR 228,000
Assumed annual licence	EUR 100,000
Assumed one-time setup	EUR 75,000
Total first-year cost	EUR 403,000
Modelled first-year saving	EUR 197,000

48.9%

Customer ROI in year one: 197,000 / 403,000

EUR 272,000

Recurring annual customer saving without repeat setup

3.3 months

Arithmetic setup payback

NOTE Scenario, not achieved customer results. Assumes full replacement at equivalent scope, no extra costs and even realisation. Licence and setup are hypotheses, not tariffs. No avoided losses, fines or additional FTE savings added.

10


PROVIDER REVENUE AND PE PORTFOLIO LEVERAGE

Replication creates leverage.

Customer benefit is not provider profit.

One modelled customer generates EUR 228,000 usage revenue from 240 MDD cases. Adding the EUR 100,000 annual licence assumed here yields EUR 328,000 recurring provider revenue. Setup is treated separately.

ANNUAL VALUE BY NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS



EUR 1,360,000 Recurring savings across five customers	EUR 1,640,000 Recurring provider revenue across five customers	EUR 375,000 One-time setup revenue across five customers
---	--	--

NOTE Hypothetical replication, not contracts. Consolidate internal charges under common ownership. Revenue precedes direct costs and operating expenses.

11 REVENUE MODEL

Usage drives revenue. Integration and licence add to it.

01

INTEGRATION AND QUALIFICATION

Institutional integration, configuration and commissioning preparation.
Quoted individually; no flat price in the base model.

02

ANNUAL LICENCE

Access within the agreed institutional scope. Terms and included allowances are established contractually.

03

SEPARATELY BILLABLE USAGE

Individual checks, sector pipelines, MDD, CDS and other packages at the effective contracted price. A technical API call is not automatically a billable service.

REVENUE MODEL

Revenue = integration + licence + usage

Usage = Σ (separately billable volume $\times$ effective product price). Count included package services and allowances only once.

NOTE International revenue calculations contain usage only. Licence and integration assumptions are included only where explicitly stated.

12

CROSS-SECTOR SCALE

Eight sector profiles form the shared calculation base.

The preceding customer case illustrates a possible MDD entry point. The broader scaling calculation assumes one institution per sector with its own service profile. Volumes and prices follow in the appendix.

ANNUAL USAGE REVENUE PER ASSUMED INSTITUTION



EUR 4,665,500

Total across eight assumed institutions. This reference portfolio is replicated in the international scenarios.


NOTE All quantities are assumptions. Licence and setup excluded.

13

INTERNATIONAL REVENUE SCENARIOS

The scaling arithmetic is explicit.
Realisation remains the task.

ANNUAL USAGE REVENUE BY ACTIVE JURISDICTIONS



JURISDICTIONS	INSTITUTIONS	REVENUE / YEAR
3	24	EUR 13,996,500
10	80	EUR 46,655,000
25	200	EUR 116,637,500
53	424	EUR 247,271,500

NOTE Assumption: the same reference portfolio in each active jurisdiction, one institution per sector. 53 is the upper modelling axis, not a verified count of commercially ready markets. No revenue forecast, TAM estimate or extra cross-border multiplier.

14

PRICE AND VOLUME SENSITIVITY

A large number is not enough. Volume and price must hold.

ANNUAL USAGE REVENUE IN EUR MILLION

PAID VOLUME	40 % PRICE	70 % PRICE	100 % PRICE
25%	24.73	43.27	61.82
50%	49.45	86.55	123.64
100%	98.91	173.09	247.27

EUR 86,545,025

At 50% of assumed volume and 70% of the modelled effective price.

The reference portfolio already incorporates the batch discounts used. This table applies further price reductions. Lower paid usage and lower prices affect revenue together; a lower price does not generate additional demand in this calculation.


NOTE Base: EUR 247,271,500. Sensitivities are neither published tariffs nor outcome probabilities.

15 PROVIDER EBITDA IN THE INTERNATIONAL SCENARIO

Scale requires a complete cost bridge.

Upper modelling axis: EUR 247,271,500 usage revenue. Assumption: EUR 80m annual central operating expense.

ILLUSTRATIVE EBITDA BY CONTRIBUTION MARGIN



EUR 68,362,900

Example at a 60% contribution margin
after direct delivery costs, less
EUR 80m central operating expense.

DOWNSIDE

At only 35% revenue realisation, the same margin and central costs produce negative EBITDA of EUR 28,072,985. Scale alone does not ensure profitability.

NOTE All costs and margins are hypothetical. Direct costs include sources, data rights, infrastructure, delivery support and partner shares. No achieved margin or company valuation.

16 KEY RISKS AND MITIGANTS

What must be true. And how it is verified.

RISK	WHAT IS OPEN	HOW IT IS ADDRESSED
Commercial validation	No customer contract or revenue yet; volumes and mix are assumptions.	Scoped, paid first deployment with formal acceptance; record paid volume and repeat demand.
Price acceptance	Prices come from the supplied list, not a market benchmark.	Qualify buyer baseline and price acceptance before contracting; sensitivities from 40% to 100% price.
Integration and bypass	Value depends on the real integrated execution point.	Verify target integration, bypass protection, state freshness and exceptions before go-live.
Delivery capacity	The founder is the named contact; team size is not verified.	Partner lever: maintenance, documentation, backup ownership and parallel integration capacity.
Data and cost	Source rights, acquisition cost and direct cost per service are unconfirmed.	Verify source rights, acquisition cost, freshness and direct cost per service in joint diligence.
Evidence level	Internal registry status is not independent confirmation.	Examine original artefacts, executable test path and system-state binding.
Corporate and IP	Legal entity in formation; IP ownership chain to be reviewed.	Review legal entity, IP ownership chain and investment structure before closing.

NOTE Consolidated from the qualifications stated throughout this paper. No patent monopoly or specific replication probability is claimed.

17 QUALIFIED INITIAL DEPLOYMENT

From the model into operation. With complete controls.

01

**NO DISCONNECTED FEATURE
EXERCISE**

A test with simulated authority or a disconnected target does not establish whether an unauthorised consequence is prevented at the real integrated execution point. We therefore do not offer a non-binding mode in which authority and evidence become optional.

02

**A LIMITED, SUBSTANTIVE
FIRST DEPLOYMENT**

One workflow, a defined scope and an institutional owner. Sources, rules, target integration and acceptance criteria are agreed. The institution defines bounded exceptions and degraded operating profiles before commissioning.

03

**THEN ESTABLISH
COMMERCIAL REPEATABILITY**

After formal acceptance, record paid volume, residual work, effective prices, delivery costs and repeat demand. Technical evidence supports architecture diligence; real usage data supports commercial validation.

NOTE A narrowly scoped first deployment is possible. It does not waive controls or replace organisation- and jurisdiction-specific acceptance.

18WHAT A PARTNER CAN ACCELERATE

Architecture alone does not scale.
Delivery and market access must grow with it.

LEVER	CONCRETE WORK
Institutional access	Identify suitable buying functions, accountable decision-makers and credible baseline costs.
Integration and operation	Specify target integration, exception profiles, acceptance and support needs.
Data and costs	Verify source rights, acquisition cost, freshness and direct cost per service.
Delivery team	Secure maintenance, documentation, backup ownership and parallel integration capacity.
Corporate structure and IP	Review the current legal entity, IP ownership chain and investment structure.

Rami Cherri is the founder and named contact. This paper assumes no verified team size, fixed sales cycles or confirmed cost margins. These delivery and commercial parameters belong in joint diligence.

NOTE No patent monopoly or specific replication probability is claimed. Protectability, implementation depth, rights and integration effort require specific assessment.

19 THE PARTNERSHIP SOUGHT

Strategic investment. Combined with market access and delivery.

01

WHO THE OPPORTUNITY FITS

For a strategic technology partner, corporate investor or PE partner with suitable institutional portfolio companies. The decisive capability is connecting technical diligence with concrete access and integration capacity.

02

WHAT THE PARTNERSHIP ADVANCES

Priorities are qualifying an initial paid deployment, securing sources and operation, and enabling replication at further institutions. An execution and financing plan should connect these outcomes with owners and acceptance milestones.

03

WHAT THE CONVERSATION ESTABLISHES

Investment structure, funding need, use of funds, commercial scope and decision rights are structured on the basis of diligence. This public edition does not state an unconfirmed ticket size, valuation or equity percentage.

NOTE This paper invites qualified diligence and negotiation. It replaces neither a specific investment offer nor legal or financial due diligence.

20 THE NEXT STEP

Examine the boundary. Define the first deployment.

Anyone expanding autonomous institutional workflows needs to establish their execution boundaries before scaling them. A partner's opportunity is to connect existing technical substance with a specific institutional need and make that path commercially credible together.

- 01 SCOPE THE ARCHITECTURE REVIEW**
Use the architecture and evidence described here to agree the relevant system state, counter-tests and open issues.
- 02 QUALIFY ONE SUITABLE CUSTOMER WORKFLOW**
Specify service scope, buyer baseline, integration and acceptance conditions.
- 03 STRUCTURE THE PARTNERSHIP**
Agree delivery, financing, responsibilities and measurable next steps.

Rami Cherri

FOUNDER & CEO · IMMO.QUICK GLOBAL

r.cherri@immoquick.eu immoquick.eu/en/investors.html

Publisher: immo.quick Global UG (haftungsbeschränkt) i. G. · Public edition · 22 Oct 2026

Individual checks and sector pipelines.

INDIVIDUAL CHECKS

CODE	PRODUCT NAME	PER UNIT
CPP-1	GLEIF Entity Validation	EUR 3.50
CPP-2	AML / Sanctions Check	EUR 65
CPP-3	UBO / Beneficial Ownership	EUR 15
CPP-4	Financial Intelligence	EUR 12
CPP-5	Corporate Register / Bundesanzeiger	EUR 8
CPP-6	Transparency Register Status	EUR 8
CPP-7	Deterministic Adverse Media	EUR 25

SECTOR PIPELINES

CODE	SECTOR	PER UNIT
IGE-BANK	Banking	EUR 75
IGE-INS	Insurance	EUR 55
IGE-RE	Real Estate / M&A	EUR 55
IGE-GOV	Government	EUR 60
IGE-ENERGY	Energy	EUR 55
IGE-CRYPTO	Crypto / CASP	EUR 55
IGE-SPORT	Sport	EUR 45
IGE-DEFENCE	Defence / Dual-Use	EUR 60

EXAMPLE

10,000 distinct AML checks × EUR 65 = EUR 650,000. Included package checks are not counted again.

The international sector profiles use lower effective batch prices for banking, real estate, government and sport. Individual prices and batch prices must not be confused.

NOTE Product names and prices from the supplied price list. Not independent market benchmarks. Count pipeline revenue once; included components are additionally billable only when separately commissioned. Availability, source scope, taxes and contract terms require confirmation.

22 APPENDIX A2 · PRICE CATALOGUE

Institutional packages, historical review and CDS.

INSTITUTIONAL PACKAGES

CODE	PRODUCT NAME	PRICE
IGE-5	Full Institutional Pipeline	EUR 75
CRT-3	Certified Receipt Premium	EUR 95
IGE-6	Institutional + Constitutional Bundle	EUR 250
G0-ADD	Constitutional Extension	+ EUR 200
CCK-1	Standalone Constitutional Determination	EUR 450
MDD-1	Machine Due Diligence	EUR 950

HISTORICAL REVIEW AND CDS

CODE	PRODUCT / SCOPE	PRICE
HCC-1	Historical compliance: 1 date	EUR 250
HCC-5	Historical compliance: 5 dates	EUR 1,000
HCC-12	Historical compliance: 12 dates	EUR 2,000
CDS-1	CDS Standalone	EUR 1,200
CDS-2	CDS Extended Analysis	EUR 2,400
CDS-3	CDS Forensic Complete	EUR 4,800
CDS-INST	CDS Institutional	On request

IGE-6: EUR 250 as a bundle. Alternatively IGE-5 plus G0-ADD: EUR 75 + 200. Alternative purchasing routes, not cumulative revenue. MDD-1 is modelled as a packaged outcome.

CDS screens constitutional and fundamental-rights patterns. CDS-2 adds explanatory analysis; CDS-3 adds a forensic evidence package. Example: 250 CDS-2 services × EUR 2,400 = EUR 600,000.

NOTE “Certified” is a supplied product name, not a claim of regulatory accreditation. Historical review depth depends on documented temporal source coverage. An analytical result does not itself confer an execution right.


23 APPENDIX A3 · VOLUME, RELIANCE AND RECURRING CHECKS

The effective unit price determines the revenue calculation.

CODE	SCOPE	PACKAGE PRICE	PER UNIT
BLK-50-BANK	50 Banking	EUR 1,800	EUR 36
BLK-50-RE	50 Real Estate	EUR 1,500	EUR 30
BLK-50-SPORT	50 Sport	EUR 1,200	EUR 24
BLK-50-GOV	50 Government	EUR 1,500	EUR 30
BLK-500	500 units	from EUR 12,500	from EUR 25
RDR-1	Reliance Decision Record	EUR 65	EUR 65

Assumed CPP mix: 40% GLEIF, 20% AML, 15% UBO, 10% financial, 10% register, 5% adverse media.

RECURRING INDIVIDUAL CHECKS · ASSUMED CPP MIX



EUR 19.90

per unit in the mix · 100,000 distinct checks yield EUR 1.99m before costs and further discounts

NOTE RDR-1 is a distinct decision to rely on existing evidence, not a second primary check. BLK-500 states a price floor; the contracted scope remains decisive. The chart is not a time series, demand forecast or throughput measurement.

One assumed institution per sector

Banking

Recurring entity, sanctions and evidence contexts in banking.

CODE	UNITS / YEAR	UNIT PRICE	REVENUE / YEAR
IGE-BANK	10,000	EUR 36	EUR 360,000
CPP-2	2,000	EUR 65	EUR 130,000
CPP-1	5,000	EUR 3.50	EUR 17,500
RDR-1	1,000	EUR 65	EUR 65,000

ANNUAL USAGE REVENUE

EUR 572,500

Real Estate / M&A

Property pipelines alongside independently commissioned MDD cases.

CODE	UNITS / YEAR	UNIT PRICE	REVENUE / YEAR
IGE-RE	2,000	EUR 30	EUR 60,000
MDD-1	500	EUR 950	EUR 475,000
CPP-3	1,000	EUR 15	EUR 15,000
RDR-1	500	EUR 65	EUR 32,500

ANNUAL USAGE REVENUE

EUR 582,500

Insurance

Policy and counterparty workflows with separately commissioned checks.

CODE	UNITS / YEAR	UNIT PRICE	REVENUE / YEAR
IGE-INS	5,000	EUR 55	EUR 275,000
CPP-2	1,000	EUR 65	EUR 65,000
CPP-3	1,000	EUR 15	EUR 15,000
RDR-1	500	EUR 65	EUR 32,500

ANNUAL USAGE REVENUE

EUR 387,500

Government

Administrative decisions with separately scoped CDS and reliance services.

CODE	UNITS / YEAR	UNIT PRICE	REVENUE / YEAR
IGE-GOV	10,000	EUR 30	EUR 300,000
CDS-2	100	EUR 2,400	EUR 240,000
RDR-1	1,000	EUR 65	EUR 65,000

ANNUAL USAGE REVENUE

EUR 605,000

NOTE All quantities are assumptions. Additional services must be independently billable outside included packages. Licence and setup excluded. Batch rates where used: banking 36, real estate 30, government 30, sport EUR 24.

One assumed institution per sector

Energy

Project and counterparty checks with separate MDD contexts.

CODE	UNITS / YEAR	UNIT PRICE	REVENUE / YEAR
IGE-ENERGY	5,000	EUR 55	EUR 275,000
CPP-2	1,000	EUR 65	EUR 65,000
MDD-1	100	EUR 950	EUR 95,000

ANNUAL USAGE REVENUE

EUR 435,000

Sport

Governance checks at the sport batch price plus distinct reliance decisions.

CODE	UNITS / YEAR	UNIT PRICE	REVENUE / YEAR
IGE-SPORT	5,000	EUR 24	EUR 120,000
RDR-1	200	EUR 65	EUR 13,000

ANNUAL USAGE REVENUE

EUR 133,000

Crypto / CASP

Higher assumed check volume without counting technical calls as demand.

CODE	UNITS / YEAR	UNIT PRICE	REVENUE / YEAR
IGE-CRYPTO	20,000	EUR 55	EUR 1,100,000
CPP-2	5,000	EUR 65	EUR 325,000
CPP-3	2,000	EUR 15	EUR 30,000

ANNUAL USAGE REVENUE

EUR 1,455,000

Defence / Dual-Use

Institutional counterparty, MDD and CDS contexts, not automatic permits.

CODE	UNITS / YEAR	UNIT PRICE	REVENUE / YEAR
IGE-DEFENCE	2,000	EUR 60	EUR 120,000
CPP-2	1,000	EUR 65	EUR 65,000
MDD-1	200	EUR 950	EUR 190,000
CDS-2	50	EUR 2,400	EUR 120,000

ANNUAL USAGE REVENUE

EUR 495,000

NOTE All quantities are assumptions. Additional services must be independently billable outside included packages. Licence and setup excluded. Batch rates where used: banking 36, real estate 30, government 30, sport EUR 24.

Every economic statement needs a clear status.

INPUT	STATUS / USE
Product prices	Supplied immo.quick price list; not a market benchmark
Volumes and product mix	Assumptions in this paper; not customer or market data
53 jurisdictions	Public system description used as the upper scenario axis
Costs and margins	Explicit sensitivities; not actual cost accounts
Buyer baseline and licence	Hypotheses for a customer-specific case
Technical evidence	To be examined per artefact, version and test scope

This paper is an economic discussion basis. It claims no guaranteed return, regulatory certification, automatic liability exemption or independent confirmation of all system functions. Legal and operational deployment requirements remain subject to local review.

NOTE Source trail: supplied price list and documented calculation assumptions in this paper; public system and jurisdiction descriptions at immoquick.eu. Calculation date: 22 October 2026. Publisher: immo.quick Global UG (haftungsbeschränkt) i. G.